

2007 ACCOMMODATION. NINETEEN YEARS. NO PORTABLE RECOGNITION.

News peg — Troy Wilkes's account: an October 2026 Swarovski/Hillsdale access dispute involving police and a trespass-related notice. Police records and the notice itself are not publicly verified in this package.

2006 Federal complaint → **2007** FTA finding → **2008** primary BART letter supports SamTrans ADA ParaTransit eligibility; RediWheels qualification Troy-reported → **2022** DMV review/reinstatement → **2025** DOJ response artifact → **2026** reported store dispute and Supreme Court petition in preparation; no filing or grant asserted.

START WITH THE GOVERNMENT'S OWN RECORDS.

1. [FTA · October 15, 2007](#)

FTA ordered actual accommodation in BART concourses and controlled outside areas, subject to fact-based conditions. It accepted platform/heavy-rail restrictions and reserved disability, mobility-aid classification and fundamental-alteration questions.

2. [DMV · June 17, 2022](#)

Order records motorcycle and Class C operation using inline skates. This is a scoped driving record.

3. [DOJ · December 19, 2025](#)

Preserved response artifact has a header but no substantive body. No merits determination is attributed to it.

4. [Swarovski / Hillsdale · October 2026](#)

Troy's account; corroboration pending. Mall common-area accommodation does not automatically extend to tenant stores.

5. [Medical + accommodation history](#)

Existing 2005 physician letter, redacted 2001 Hillsdale letter and 2026 management extract; remaining source gaps labeled.

6. [Scientific evidence · governed public record](#)

55 same-day experiments: Mall skating → pain-limited walking → PT skating. N-of-1; sensors do not measure pain.

How can a person obtain an actual federal accommodation directive in 2007 and still face repeated disputes over the same disability-related mobility function nineteen years later?

[Official FTA finding](#) [Media gateway](#) [Evidence Observatory](#)

[HandicapSkater.com](#) · [HandicapSkater.org](#)

Prepared for media review. Claims are bounded to the identified records.

The 2007 FTA determination concerned BART and does not itself determine Swarovski's obligations.